Nonparametric Bayesian System Reliability with Imprecise Prior Information on Component Lifetimes

Gero Walter¹, Louis Aslett², Frank Coolen³

¹Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, NL ²University of Oxford, Oxford, UK ³Durham University, Durham, UK

g.m.walter@tue.nl







SIAM-UQ'16, 2016-04-08





We want to learn about the system reliability $R_{sys}(t) = P(T_{sys} > t)$ (system survival function) based on





We want to learn about the system reliability $R_{sys}(t) = P(T_{sys} > t)$ (system survival function) based on

component test data:

 n_k failure times for components of type k, k = 1, ..., K







We want to learn about the system reliability $R_{sys}(t) = P(T_{sys} > t)$ (system survival function) based on

component test data:

 n_k failure times for components of type k, k = 1, ..., K

 cautious assumptions on component reliability:

expert information,

e.g. from maintenance managers and staff





We want to learn about the system reliability $R_{sys}(t) = P(T_{sys} > t)$ (system survival function) based on

component test data:

 n_k failure times for components of type k, $k = 1, \ldots, K$

 cautious assumptions on component reliability:

expert information,

e.g. from maintenance managers and staff

How to combine these two information sources?



expert info + data \rightarrow complete picture



expert info	+	data	\rightarrow	complete picture
prior distribution	+	sample distribution	\rightarrow	posterior distribution
<i>f</i> (<i>p</i>)	×	$f(s \mid p)$	œ	f(p s) ► Bayes' Bule

Bayesian Inference





Bayesian Inference

expert info	+	data	\rightarrow	complete picture
prior distribution	+	sample distribution	\rightarrow	posterior distribution
f(p)	×	$f(s \mid p)$	œ	f(p s) ► Bayes' Bule
Beta prior		Binomial distribution		Beta posterior
$p \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha^{(0)}, \beta^{(0)})$		$s \mid p \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$		$p \mid s \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha^{(n)}, \beta^{(n)})$
4 - 9 - 1 - 0.000.250.500.751.00 P		0.20 0.15 0.05 0.00 0.05 0.00 0.5 10 15 S		4 - 6 - 1 - 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00 P TU/e Technische Universiteit Endowen

expert info	+	data	\rightarrow	complete picture
prior distribution	+	sample distribution	\rightarrow	posterior distribution
<i>f</i> (<i>p</i>)	×	$f(s \mid p)$	œ	f(p s) ► Bayes' Rule
Beta prior		Binomial distribution		Beta posterior conjugacy
$\sigma \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha^{(0)}, \beta^{(0)})$		$s \mid p \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$		$p \mid s \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha^{(n)}, \beta^{(n)})$

- ► conjugate prior makes learning about parameter tractable, just update hyperparameters: $\alpha^{(0)} \rightarrow \alpha^{(n)}, \beta^{(0)} \rightarrow \beta^{(n)}$
- ▶ closed form for some inferences: $E[p | s] = \frac{\alpha^{(n)}}{\alpha^{(n)} + \beta^{(n)}}$



















TU/e Technische Universiteit Eindhoven University of Technology

use Bayesian inference to estimate p_t^k 's:



use Bayesian inference to estimate p_t^k 's:

▶ failure times t^k = (t^k₁,..., t^k_{nk}) from component test data number of type k components functioning at t: S^k_t | p^k_t ~ Binomial(p^k_t, n_k)



use Bayesian inference to estimate p_t^k 's:

► failure times t^k = (t^k₁,..., t^k_{nk}) from component test data number of type k components functioning at t: S^k_t | p^k_t ~ Binomial(p^k_t, n_k)

expert knowledge

Beta prior for each k and t:

 $p_t^k \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha_{k,t}^{(0)}, \beta_{k,t}^{(0)})$



use Bayesian inference to estimate p_t^k 's:

► failure times t^k = (t^k₁,..., t^k_{nk}) from component test data number of type k components functioning at t: S^k_i | p^k_i ~ Binomial(p^k_i, n_k)

expert knowledge

Beta prior for each k and t:

 $p_t^k \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha_{k,t}^{(0)}, \beta_{k,t}^{(0)})$

complete picture

Beta posterior for each k and t: $p_t^k \mid s_t^k \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha_{k,t}^{(n)}, \beta_{k,t}^{(n)})$



What if expert information and data tell different stories?



What if expert information and data tell different stories?

Prior-Data Conflict

- informative prior beliefs and trusted data (sampling model correct, no outliers, etc.) are in conflict
- "[...] the prior [places] its mass primarily on distributions in the sampling model for which the observed data is surprising" (Evans and Moshonov 2006)
- there are not enough data to overrule the prior



What if expert information and data tell different stories?



What if expert information and data tell different stories?

reparametrisation helps to understand effect of prior-data conflict:

 $\langle \alpha \rangle$

$$n^{(0)} = \alpha^{(0)} + \beta^{(0)}, \qquad y^{(0)} = \frac{\alpha^{(0)}}{\alpha^{(0)} + \beta^{(0)}}, \quad \text{which are updated as}$$
$$n^{(n)} = n^{(0)} + n, \qquad y^{(n)} = \frac{n^{(0)}}{n^{(0)} + n} y^{(0)} + \frac{n}{n^{(0)} + n} \cdot \frac{s}{n}$$



What if expert information and data tell different stories?

reparametrisation helps to understand effect of prior-data conflict:

$$n^{(0)} = \alpha^{(0)} + \beta^{(0)}, \qquad y^{(0)} = \frac{\alpha^{(0)}}{\alpha^{(0)} + \beta^{(0)}}, \text{ which are updated as}$$
$$n^{(n)} = n^{(0)} + n, \qquad y^{(n)} = \frac{n^{(0)}}{n^{(0)} + n} y^{(0)} + \frac{n}{n^{(0)} + n} \cdot \frac{s}{n}$$
$$y^{(0)} = \mathbf{E}[p]$$



What if expert information and data tell different stories?

$$n^{(0)} = \alpha^{(0)} + \beta^{(0)}, \qquad y^{(0)} = \frac{\alpha^{(0)}}{\alpha^{(0)} + \beta^{(0)}}, \text{ which are updated as}$$
$$n^{(n)} = n^{(0)} + n, \qquad y^{(n)} = \frac{n^{(0)}}{n^{(0)} + n} y^{(0)} + \frac{n}{n^{(0)} + n} \cdot \frac{s}{n}$$
$$y^{(0)} = \mathbf{E}[p] \quad y^{(n)} = \mathbf{E}[p \mid s]$$



What if expert information and data tell different stories?

$$n^{(0)} = \alpha^{(0)} + \beta^{(0)}, \qquad y^{(0)} = \frac{\alpha^{(0)}}{\alpha^{(0)} + \beta^{(0)}}, \quad \text{which are updated as}$$

$$n^{(n)} = n^{(0)} + n, \qquad y^{(n)} = \frac{n^{(0)}}{n^{(0)} + n} y^{(0)} + \frac{n}{n^{(0)} + n} \cdot \frac{s}{n}$$

$$y^{(0)} = \mathbf{E}[p] \quad y^{(n)} = \mathbf{E}[p \mid s] \quad \text{ML estimator } \hat{p}$$



What if expert information and data tell different stories?





What if expert information and data tell different stories?





What if expert information and data tell different stories?



Add imprecision as new modelling dimension: Sets of priors...

... model uncertainty in probability statements



Add imprecision as new modelling dimension: Sets of priors...

... model uncertainty in probability statements

Uncertainty about probability statements

smaller sets = more precise probability statements

Lottery A Number of winning tickets: exactly known as 5 out of 100 $\blacktriangleright P(win) = 5/100$

Lottery B

Number of winning tickets: not exactly known, supposedly between 1 and 7 out of 100 ► P(win) = [1/100, 7/100]



- ... model uncertainty in probability statements
- ... allow for partial or vague information on p_t^k 's



Add imprecision as new modelling dimension: Sets of priors...

- ... model uncertainty in probability statements
- ... allow for partial or vague information on p_t^k 's
- ... highlight prior-data conflict.

- ... model uncertainty in probability statements
- ... allow for partial or vague information on p_t^k 's
- ... highlight prior-data conflict.
- Separate uncertainty whithin the model (reliability statements) from uncertainty about the model (which parameters).



- ... model uncertainty in probability statements
- ... allow for partial or vague information on p_t^k 's
- ... highlight prior-data conflict.
- Separate uncertainty whithin the model (reliability statements) from uncertainty about the model (which parameters).
- Systematic sensitivity analysis / robust Bayesian approach



- ... model uncertainty in probability statements
- ... allow for partial or vague information on p_t^k 's
- ... highlight prior-data conflict.
- Separate uncertainty whithin the model (reliability statements) from uncertainty about the model (which parameters).
- Systematic sensitivity analysis / robust Bayesian approach
- ► Walter and Augustin (2009), Walter (2013): vary $(n^{(0)}, y^{(0)})$ in a set $= [\underline{n}^{(0)}, \overline{n}^{(0)}] \times [y^{(0)}, \overline{y}^{(0)}]$
 - easy elicitation, tractability & prior-data conflict sensitivity



- ... model uncertainty in probability statements
- ... allow for partial or vague information on p_t^k 's
- ... highlight prior-data conflict.
- Separate uncertainty whithin the model (reliability statements) from uncertainty about the model (which parameters).
- Systematic sensitivity analysis / robust Bayesian approach
- ► Walter and Augustin (2009), Walter (2013): vary $(n^{(0)}, y^{(0)})$ in a set $= [\underline{n}^{(0)}, \overline{n}^{(0)}] \times [y^{(0)}, \overline{y}^{(0)}]$
 - easy elicitation, tractability & prior-data conflict sensitivity
- Bounds for inferences (point estimate, prediction, ...) by min/max over



Component Reliability with Sets of Priors



Component Reliability with Sets of Priors



Component Reliability with Sets of Priors



Closed form for the system reliability via the survival signature:

$$R_{\text{sys}}(t \mid \bigcup_{k=1}^{K} \{ n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k \}) = P(T_{\text{sys}} > t \mid \cdots)$$
$$= \sum_{l_1=0}^{m_1} \cdots \sum_{l_K=0}^{m_K} \Phi(l_1, \dots, l_K) \prod_{k=1}^{K} P(C_t^k = l_k \mid n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k)$$



Closed form for the system reliability via the survival signature:

$$R_{\text{sys}}(t \mid \bigcup_{k=1}^{K} \{n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k\}) = P(T_{\text{sys}} > t \mid \cdots)$$
$$= \sum_{l_1=0}^{m_1} \cdots \sum_{l_K=0}^{m_K} \Phi(l_1, \dots, l_K) \prod_{k=1}^{K} P(C_t^k = l_k \mid n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k)$$

Survival signature $\Phi(l_1, \ldots, l_K)$ (Coolen and Coolen-Maturi 2012) = $P(\text{system functions} | \{l_k | \mathbf{k} \}$'s function $\}^{1:K})$ l_3 Φ l_2 l_3 Φ l_2 l_1 lı 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 2 2 1 1 0 1 1/3 2/3 3 3 0 1 1 1 1 1 0





Closed form for the system reliability via the survival signature:

$$R_{\text{sys}}(t \mid \bigcup_{k=1}^{K} \{n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^{k}\}) = P(T_{\text{sys}} > t \mid \cdots)$$

$$= \sum_{l_{1}=0}^{m_{1}} \cdots \sum_{l_{K}=0}^{m_{K}} \Phi(l_{1}, \dots, l_{K}) \prod_{k=1}^{K} P(C_{t}^{k} = l_{k} \mid n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^{k})$$
Survival signature $\Phi(l_{1}, \dots, l_{K})$
(Coolen and Coolen-Maturi 2012)

= $P(\text{system functions} | \{l_k | \mathbf{k} \}$'s function $\}^{1:K})$ Φ l_2 l_3 Φ l_1 l_2 l_3 l_1 3 0 1 1/3 2/3



 Closed form for the system reliability via the survival signature:

 $l_K = 0$

 $l_1 = 0$

$$R_{\text{sys}}(t \mid \bigcup_{k=1}^{K} \{ n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k \}) = P(T_{\text{sys}} > t \mid \cdots)$$
$$= \sum_{l_1=0}^{m_1} \cdots \sum_{l_K=0}^{m_K} \Phi(l_1, \dots, l_K) \prod_{k=1}^{K} P(C_t^k = l_k \mid n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k)$$

Survival signature $\Phi(l_1, \ldots, l_K)$ (Coolen and Coolen-Maturi 2012) = $P(\text{system functions} | \{l_k | \mathbf{k} \}$'s function $\}^{1:K})$ l_3 Φ l_2 l_3 Φ l_2 l_1 lı 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 2 2 1 1 0 1 1/3 2/3 3 3 0 1 1 1 1 1 0



Closed form for the system reliability via the survival signature:

$$R_{\text{sys}}(t \mid \bigcup_{k=1}^{K} \{n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k\}) = P(T_{\text{sys}} > t \mid \cdots)$$
$$= \sum_{l_1=0}^{m_1} \cdots \sum_{l_K=0}^{m_K} \Phi(l_1, \dots, l_K) \prod_{k=1}^{K} P(C_t^k = l_k \mid n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k)$$

Survival signature
$$\Phi(l_1, \dots, l_K)$$

(Coolen and Coolen-Maturi 2012)
= $P(\text{system functions} | \{l_k \ \textbf{k} \ \text{'s function}\}^{1:K})$
 $\frac{l_1 \ l_2 \ l_3 \ \Phi}{0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0} \quad \frac{l_1 \ l_2 \ l_3 \ \Phi}{0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0} \quad \frac{l_1 \ l_2 \ l_3 \ \Phi}{0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0} \quad \frac{l_1 \ l_2 \ l_3 \ \Phi}{0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0}$
2 0 1 1/3 2 1 1 2/3
3 0 1 1 3 1 1 2/3

V V X



Closed form for the system reliability via the survival signature:

$$R_{sys}(t \mid \bigcup_{k=1}^{K} \{n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k\}) = P(T_{sys} > t \mid \cdots)$$

$$=\sum_{l_1=0}^{m_1}\cdots\sum_{l_k=0}^{m_k}\Phi(l_1,\ldots,l_k)\prod_{k=1}^{n_k}P(C_t^k=l_k\mid n_{k,t}^{(0)},y_{k,t}^{(0)},t^k)$$

Survival signature $\Phi(l_1, \ldots, l_K)$ (Coolen and Coolen-Maturi 2012) = $P(\text{system functions} | \{l_k | \mathbf{k} \}$'s function $\}^{1:K})$ Φ l_2 l_3 Φ l_2 l_3 l_1 lı 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 2 1 1 0 1 0 0 2 3 0 1 1/3 2/3 3 1 0 1 1 1 1

🗸 🗸 X X





0

Closed form for the system reliability via the survival signature:

$$R_{\text{sys}}(t \mid \bigcup_{k=1}^{K} \{n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k\}) = P(T_{\text{sys}} > t \mid \cdots)$$
$$= \sum_{l_1=0}^{m_1} \cdots \sum_{l_K=0}^{m_K} \Phi(l_1, \dots, l_K) \prod_{k=1}^{K} P(C_t^k = l_k \mid n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k)$$

Survival signature
$$\Phi(l_1, \dots, l_K)$$

(Coolen and Coolen-Maturi 2012)
= $P(\text{system functions} | \{l_k \ \textbf{k}'\text{s function}\}^{1:K})$
 $\frac{l_1 \ l_2 \ l_3 \ \Phi}{0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0} \quad \frac{l_1 \ l_2 \ l_3 \ \Phi}{0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0}$
 $\frac{l_1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0}{1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0}$
 $2 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1/3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2/3$
 $3 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 3 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1$

 \checkmark \checkmark \times \times \times



Closed form for the system reliability via the survival signature:

 $\overline{l_K=0}$

$$R_{\text{sys}}(t \mid \bigcup_{k=1}^{K} \{n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k\}) = P(T_{\text{sys}} > t \mid \cdots)$$
$$= \sum_{l_1=0}^{m_1} \cdots \sum_{l_K=0}^{m_K} \Phi(l_1, \dots, l_K) \prod_{k=1}^{K} P(C_t^k = l_k \mid n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k)$$

Survival signature $\Phi(l_1, \ldots, l_K)$ (Coolen and Coolen-Maturi 2012) = $P(\text{system functions} | \{l_k | \mathbf{k} \}$'s function $\}^{1:K})$ Φ l_2 l_3 Φ l_2 l_3 l_1 lı 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 2 1 1 2 0 1 1/3 2/3 3 3 0 1 1 1 1 0

 $l_1 = 0$

 $\checkmark X X X X$



Closed form for the system reliability via the survival signature:

$$R_{sys}(t \mid \bigcup_{k=1}^{K} \{n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k\}) = P(T_{sys} > t \mid \cdots)$$

$$=\sum_{l_1=0}^{m_1}\cdots\sum_{l_K=0}^{m_K}\Phi(l_1,\ldots,l_K)\prod_{k=1}^K P(C_t^k=l_k\mid n_{k,t}^{(0)},y_{k,t}^{(0)},t^k)$$

Survival signature $\Phi(l_1, \ldots, l_K)$ (Coolen and Coolen-Maturi 2012) = $P(\text{system functions} | \{l_k | \mathbf{k} \}$'s function $\}^{1:K})$ Φ Φ l_2 l_3 l_1 l_2 l_3 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 2 1 1 2 0 1 1/3 2/3 3 1 3 0 1 1 1 0

Posterior predictive probability that in a new system, l_k of the m_k is function at time t:

$$\begin{split} & \binom{m_k}{l_k} \int [P(T < t \mid p_t^k)]^{l_k} \\ & [P(T \ge t \mid p_t^k)]^{m_k - l_k} \\ & f(p_t^k \mid n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k) \, dp_t^k \end{split}$$

► analytical solution for integral: $C_t^k \mid n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k \sim \text{Beta-binomial}$



• Bounds for
$$R_{sys}(t \mid \bigcup_{k=1}^{K} \{n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k\})$$
 over $\bigcup_{k=1}^{K} \{\dots\}$:

• min $R_{sys}(\cdot)$ by $y_{k,t}^{(0)} = \underline{y}_{k,t}^{(0)}$ for any $n_{k,t}^{(0)}$ (Walter, Aslett, and Coolen 2016, Theorem 1)



► Bounds for $R_{sys}(t \mid \bigcup_{k=1}^{K} \{n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k\})$ over $\bigcup_{k=1}^{K} \{\dots\}$:

- min $R_{sys}(\cdot)$ by $y_{k,t}^{(0)} = \underline{y}_{k,t}^{(0)}$ for any $n_{k,t}^{(0)}$ (Walter, Aslett, and Coolen 2016, Theorem 1)
- ▶ min R_{sys}(·) for <u>n</u>⁽⁰⁾_{k,t} or n⁽⁰⁾_{k,t} according to simple conditions (Walter, Aslett, and Coolen 2016, Theorem 2 & Lemma 3)



► Bounds for $R_{sys}(t \mid \bigcup_{k=1}^{K} \{n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k\})$ over $\bigcup_{k=1}^{K} \{$ }:

- min $R_{sys}(\cdot)$ by $y_{k,t}^{(0)} = \underline{y}_{k,t}^{(0)}$ for any $n_{k,t}^{(0)}$ (Walter, Aslett, and Coolen 2016, Theorem 1)
- min R_{sys}(·) for <u>n</u>⁽⁰⁾_{k,t} or <u>n</u>⁽⁰⁾_{k,t} according to simple conditions (Walter, Aslett, and Coolen 2016, Theorem 2 & Lemma 3)
- numeric optimization over [<u>n</u>⁽⁰⁾_{k,t}, <u>n</u>⁽⁰⁾_{k,t}] in the very few cases where Theorem 2 & Lemma 3 do not apply



► Bounds for $R_{sys}(t \mid \bigcup_{k=1}^{K} \{n_{k,t}^{(0)}, y_{k,t}^{(0)}, t^k\})$ over $\bigcup_{k=1}^{K} \{$ }:

- min $R_{sys}(\cdot)$ by $y_{k,t}^{(0)} = \underline{y}_{k,t}^{(0)}$ for any $n_{k,t}^{(0)}$ (Walter, Aslett, and Coolen 2016, Theorem 1)
- min R_{sys}(·) for <u>n</u>⁽⁰⁾_{k,t} or <u>n</u>⁽⁰⁾_{k,t} according to simple conditions (Walter, Aslett, and Coolen 2016, Theorem 2 & Lemma 3)
- numeric optimization over $[\underline{n}_{k,t}^{(0)}, \overline{n}_{k,t}^{(0)}]$ in the very few cases where Theorem 2 & Lemma 3 do not apply
- implemented in R package ReliabilityTheory (Aslett 2016)



System Reliability Bounds



9/11

Summary:

- Nonparametric modeling of component reliability curves
- Bayesian model combining expert knowledge and test data
- Set of system reliability functions reflects uncertainties from limited data, vague expert information, and prior-data conflict
- Easy-to-use implementation in R package ReliabilityTheory (Aslett 2016)



Summary:

- Nonparametric modeling of component reliability curves
- Bayesian model combining expert knowledge and test data
- Set of system reliability functions reflects uncertainties from limited data, vague expert information, and prior-data conflict
- Easy-to-use implementation in R package ReliabilityTheory (Aslett 2016)

Next steps:

- Allow right-censored observations (RUL estimation)
- Allow dependence between components (common-cause failure, ...)
- Use for system design (where to put extra redundancy?)
- Use for maintenance planning



References

Aslett, Louis (2016). ReliabilityTheory: Tools for structural reliability analysis. R package. URL: http://www.louisaslett.com. Coolen, Frank and Tahani Coolen-Maturi (2012). "Generalizing the Signature to Systems with Multiple Types of Components". In: Complex Systems and Dependability. Ed. by W. Zamojski et al. Vol. 170. Advances in Intelligent and Soft Computing. Springer, pp. 115–130. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-30662-4_8. Evans, Michael and Hadas Moshonov (2006). "Checking for Prior-Data Conflict". In: Bayesian Analysis 1, pp. 893-914. URL: http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.ba/1340370946. Walter, Gero (2013). "Generalized Bayesian Inference under Prior-Data Conflict". PhD thesis. Department of Statistics, LMU Munich. URL: http://edoc.ub.uni-muenchen.de/17059/. Walter, Gero, Louis Aslett, and Frank Coolen (2016). "Bayesian Nonparametric System Reliability using Sets of Priors". Submitted to International Journal of Approximate Reasoning. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.01650. Walter, Gero and Thomas Augustin (2009). "Imprecision and Prior-data Conflict in Generalized Bayesian Inference". In: Journal of Statistical Theory and Practice 3, pp. 255-271. DOI: 10.1080/15598608.2009.10411924.

