Software for Generalized Bayesian Inference

An object-oriented R implementation of generalized iLUCK-models

Gero Walter

Department of Statistics Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich

gero.walter@stat.uni-muenchen.de
www.stat.uni-muenchen.de/~walter

July 17th, 2009



▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Generalized Bayesian inference, prior-data conflict, Imprecise Dirichlet Model (IDM), R, Software

- iLUCK-models are a generalization of the IDM to arbitrary sample distributions that form a so-called exponential family.
- iLUCK-models offer a general, manageable and powerful calculous for Bayesian inference with sets of priors.
- However, they are insensitive to prior-data conflict and thus do not use the full expressive power of imprecise probability.
- Generalized iLUCK-models extend iLUCK-models such that prior-data conflict is accounted for.
- ► A basic framework for display and updating of generalized iLUCK-models is implemented in the statistical software environment R.
- The framework can be easily extended to give inferences for arbitrary sample distributions.

Background

Examples

Software Environment

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Implementation

Generalized Bayesian Inference – General Idea

Bayesian Inference on some parameter θ :



・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへの

→ update only parameters!

LUCK-models: Single Conjugate Prior

 $X \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$ linear, canonical exponential family, i.e.

 $p(x \mid \theta) \propto \exp\left\{\langle \psi, \tau(x) \rangle - n\mathbf{b}(\psi)\right\} \qquad \left[\psi \text{ transformation of } \theta\right]$

(includes Binomial, Multinomial, Normal, Poisson,... distr.)

➡ conjugate prior:

$$p(\theta) \propto \exp\left\{n^{(0)}\left[\langle \psi, y^{(0)} \rangle - \mathbf{b}(\psi)\right]\right\}$$

→ (conjugate) posterior:

$$p(\theta \mid x) \propto \exp\left\{n^{(1)}\left[\langle \psi, y^{(1)} \rangle - \mathbf{b}(\psi)\right]\right\}$$

where
$$y^{(1)} = \frac{n^{(0)}y^{(0)} + \tau(x)}{n^{(0)} + n}$$
 and $n^{(1)} = n^{(0)} + n$.

Interpretation of $y^{(0)}$ and $n^{(0)}$

 $y^{(0)}$: "main prior parameter"

- ▶ for samples from a N(μ , 1), $p(\mu)$ is a N($y^{(0)}, \frac{1}{n^{(0)}}$)
- for samples from a M(θ), p(θ) is a Dir(n⁽⁰⁾, y⁽⁰⁾) (y⁽⁰⁾_j = t_j = prior probability for class j, n⁽⁰⁾ = s)

sets of LUCK-models – iLUCK-models iLUCK-model:

(inspired by Quaeghebeur and de Cooman, 2005)

vary $y^{(0)}$ in $\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$ [$\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$ convex], i.e. allow for ambiguity on the main prior parameter

→ prior credal set contains all finite convex mixtures of $p(\theta)$ s with $y^{(0)} \in \mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$

→ posterior credal set easy to calculate: all finite convex mixtures of p(θ | x)s with

$$y^{(1)} \in \mathcal{Y}^{(1)} = \frac{n^{(0)}}{n^{(0)} + n} \cdot \mathcal{Y}^{(0)} + \frac{n}{n^{(0)} + n} \cdot \tilde{\tau}(x)$$
$$\left\{ (n^{(1)}, y^{(1)}) \middle| n^{(1)} = n^{(0)} + n, y^{(1)} = \frac{n^{(0)} y^{(0)} + \tau(x)}{n^{(0)} + n}, y^{(0)} \in \mathcal{Y}^{(0)} \right\}$$

unfavourable behavior in case of prior-data conflict! 🥂

Prior-Data Conflict

Situation in which *informative prior beliefs* and *trusted data* (no outliers, etc.) are in conflict

Example: (Walley 1991)

Data :	X	\sim	N(artheta,1)	
conjugate prior:	ϑ	\sim	$N(\mu,1)$	
posterior:	$\vartheta \mid x$	\sim	$N\left(\frac{\mu+x}{2}\right.$	$, \frac{1}{2} \Big)$
 ► Case (i): µ = ► Case (ii): µ = 	5.5, x 3.5, x	= 6. = 8.	$\begin{array}{ccc} 5 & \Longrightarrow \vartheta \\ 5 & \Longrightarrow \vartheta \end{array}$	$\sim N(6, \frac{1}{2}) \\ \sim N(6, \frac{1}{2})$

🗥 In Bayesian analysis all inference is based only on the posterior!

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへの

Prior-Data Conflict in iLUCK-models

$$\overline{y}^{(1)} - \underline{y}^{(1)} = \frac{n^{(0)}\overline{y}^{(0)} + \tau(x)}{n^{(0)} + n} - \frac{n^{(0)}\underline{y}^{(0)} + \tau(x)}{n^{(0)} + n} = \frac{n^{(0)}(\overline{y}^{(0)} - \underline{y}^{(0)})}{n^{(0)} + n}$$

 \rightarrow Posterior imprecision does not depend on $\tau(x)$!

For *any* sample of size *n*, posterior imprecision is reduced by the same amount!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

sets of LUCK-models – Generalized iLUCK-models generalized iLUCK-model:

vary $y^{(0)}$ in $\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$ and $n^{(0)}$ in $\mathcal{N}^{(0)}$, i.e. weigh prior information $\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$ and sample information $\tilde{\tau}(x)$ more flexible in

$$y^{(1)} = \frac{n^{(0)}}{n^{(0)} + n} \cdot y^{(0)} + \frac{n}{n^{(0)} + n} \cdot \tilde{\tau}(x)$$

→ prior credal set contains all finite convex mixtures of $p(\theta)$ s with $y^{(0)} \in \mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$ and $n^{(0)} \in \mathcal{N}^{(0)}$

→ posterior credal set still quite easy to calculate: all finite convex mixtures of p(θ | x)s with

$$\left\{ \left(n^{(1)}, \, y^{(1)} \right) \, \middle| \, n^{(1)} = n^{(0)} + n, \, y^{(1)} = \frac{n^{(0)} y^{(0)} + \tau(x)}{n^{(0)} + n}, \, n^{(0)} \in \mathcal{N}^{(0)}, \, y^{(0)} \in \mathcal{Y}^{(0)} \right\}$$

Defines a general framework for two models proposed by Walley (1991) for Binomial and scaled Normal data.

Example: samples from a $N(\mu, 1)$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

iLUCK-model



・ロト ・母 ト ・ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ つくぐ

generalized iLUCK-model



・ロト ・母 ト ・ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ つくぐ

Example: samples from a $M(\theta)$

→ $\theta \sim \text{Dir}(n^{(0)}, y^{(0)})$ ← Imprecise Dirichlet Model (IDM) $(y_j^{(0)} = t_j \hat{=} \text{ prior probability for class } j, n^{(0)} = s)$ → Walley (JRSS, 1991), Bernard (IJAR Special Issue, 2009)



iLUCK-model + IDM



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

generalized iLUCK-model generalized IDM



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ - □ - のへぐ

The R project for Statistical Computing

- not just a (statistical) software package, rather a full-grown programming language
- open source implementation of the (award-winning) S language
- extremely widespread in universitary research (reference implementation of new methods are often in R)
- extensions providing additional functionality can be made readily available as "packages"
- can be linked with LATEX (package Sweave)
- can be used as imperative or as object-oriented language

Imperative vs. Object-oriented Programming

imperative: do this, then that

➡ functions (on arguments)

object-oriented: create 'objects', do things with them blueprints for objects called 'classes'

objects created according to a blueprint are called an 'instance'

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

example:

banking company administrating their customers' accounts

class: BankAccount instances: bank account for customer A bank account for customer B

Object-oriented Programming: Class hierarchies



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > ・三 ・ のへ()・

Implementation – Class Structure

Implemented class structure maps the hierarchy of the model:





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Code Example

```
> ex1 <- LuckModel(n0=c(1,10), y0= c(0,5))
> ex1
generalized iLUCK model with prior parameter set:
  lower n0 = 1 upper n0 = 10
  lower y0 = 0 upper y0 = 5
giving a main parameter prior imprecision of 5
> data1 <- LuckModelData(tau=11, n=2)</pre>
> data1
data object with sample statistic tau(x) = 11 and sample size n = 2
> ex2 <- ScaledNormalLuckModel(n0=c(1,2), y0=c(3,4), data=rnorm(mean=4,
sd=1. n=10))
> ex2
generalized iLUCK model for inference from scaled normal data
with prior parameter set:
  lower n0 = 1 upper n0 = 2
  lower y0 = 3 upper y0 = 4
giving a main parameter prior imprecision of 1
corresponding to a set of normal priors
with means in [3;4] and variances in [0.5;1]
and ScaledNormalData object containing data of sample size 10
with mean 4,170152 and variance 0,6234904 .
```

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

References

- E. Quaeghebeur and G. de Cooman. Imprecise probability models for inference in exponential families. In F.G. Cozman, R. Nau, and T. Seidenfeld, eds., *ISIPTA '05*, p. 287–296, 2005.
- R Development Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2008. See also: http://www.R-project.org, http://www.cran.R-project.org
- G. Walter and T. Augustin. Imprecision and prior-data conflict in generalized Bayesian inference. *Journal of Statistical Theory and Practice*, 3 (Special Issue on Imprecision), p. 255–271, 2009.
- G. Walter, T. Augustin, and A. Peters. Linear regression analysis under sets of conjugate priors. In G. de Cooman, J. Vejnarová, and M. Zaffalon, eds., *ISIPTA '07*, p. 445–455, 2007.